UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,394	03/09/2004	Takuya Tsukagoshi	890050.468	1892

500 7590 10/25/2006

SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC
701 FIFTH AVE
SUITE 5400
SEATTLE, WA 98104

EXAMINER	
LAVARIAS, ARNEL C	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2872	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/796,394	TSUKAGOSHI, TAKUYA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnel C. Lavarias	2872	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/11/06,7/10/06.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/11/06 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendments to Claim 1 in the submission dated 9/11/06 are acknowledged and accepted.

Response to Arguments

3. The Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/06 have been fully considered but they are not found fully persuasive.

4. The Applicant argues that, with respect to newly amended Claim 1, as well as Claim 2-3 which depend on Claim 1, the combined teachings of Chou et al., Curtis et al., and Bernal et al. fail to teach or reasonably suggest the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remaining unchanged. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As previously discussed in the Advisory Action dated

7/24/06, Curtis et al. provides the conventional teaching that the focal point of a Fourier transform lens may be repositioned away from the recording medium, such that the focal point may be located either between the Fourier transform lens and the recording medium or between the recording medium and the inverse Fourier transform lens. The Examiner further notes that, in each of Curtis et al., Chou et al., and Bernal et al, the lenses; including both the Fourier transform lens and the inverse Fourier transform lens as well as the power optic (See Figures 6-7, 10-11, 13-14 of Curtis et al.; Figure 1 of Chou et al.; Figure 1 of Bernal et al.), *do not move* during the recording and reproduction of information, and thus the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remain unchanged during the recording and reproduction of the information.

5. After reviewing the English translation of the foreign priority document JP2003-070664 submitted 7/10/06, the Examiner respectfully withdraws the rejections in Sections 9-10 of the Office Action dated 4/10/06.

6. Claims 1-3 are now rejected as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al. (W. Chou, M. A. Neifeld, 'Interleaving and error correction in volume holographic memory systems', Appl. Opt., vol. 37, no. 29, October 10, 1998, pp. 6951-6968.), of record, in view of Curtis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6163391), of record, and Bernal et al. (M. P. Bernal, G. W. Burr, H. Coufal, M. Quintanilla, 'Noise in high-areal-density holographic data storage systems', Opt. Soc. America, Washington, D.C., USA, May 1998, pp. 21-22.), of record.

Chou et al. discloses a holographic recording and reproducing apparatus (See for example Figure 1; Section 2A) for recording data as phase information of light in a holographic recording medium (See 'memory' in Figure 1) by projecting a signal beam and a reference beam thereonto, the holographic recording and reproducing apparatus comprising at least a spatial light modulator (See 'SLM' in Figure 1), a Fourier transform lens (See 'lens 1' in Figure 1), a reverse Fourier transform lens (See 'lens 2' in Figure 1), and a CCD image sensor (See 'CCD' in Figure 1), the holographic recording medium being disposed between the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, the focal length of the Fourier transform lens is set to be different (e.g. longer) than that of the reverse Fourier transform lens (See Sections 4C, 4D); and the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remaining unchanged (It is noted that the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform lenses of Chou et al. do not move during holographic recording and reproduction of information). Chou et al. lacks a pinhole disposed at a confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, such that the pinhole is disposed either between the

holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens or between the holographic recording medium and the reverse Fourier transform lens. However, Curtis et al. teaches a conventional method and apparatus for holographic data storage (See for example Figures 1, 15), wherein the holographic recording medium (See for example 30 in Figure 1; 520 in Figure 15) may be located away from the focal point of the incident Fourier transform lens (See for example Figures 6-7, 10-11, 13-14). This repositioning of the focal point of the Fourier transform lens may be performed by positioning the recording medium away from the focal point of the Fourier transform lens (See for example Figure 13) or by utilizing additional powered lenses (See for example 390/395 in Figure 10; 405 in Figure 11) in conjunction with the Fourier transform lens to adjust the convergence or divergence of the incident light beam (See col. 10, line 1-col. 12, line 29). Further, the lenses, including both the Fourier transform lens and the inverse Fourier transform lens as well as the power optic (See Figures 6-7, 10-11, 13-14) do not move during the recording and reproduction of information, and thus the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remain unchanged during the recording and reproduction of the information. In addition, Bernal et al. teaches a digital holographic storage system utilizing a 4F lens design (See Figure 1), wherein an aperture is placed at the Fourier plane of the 4F system (it is noted that this Fourier plane occurs at the confocal point of the Fourier (See L_1 in Figure 1) and reverse Fourier (See L_2 in Figure 1) lenses at point 'D'). Also, the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform lenses (See L_1, L_2 in Figure 1) of Bernal et al. do not move during holographic recording and reproduction of information. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the apparatus of Chou et al. further comprise a pinhole disposed at a confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, such that the pinhole is disposed either between the holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens or between the holographic recording medium and the reverse Fourier transform lens, as taught by Curtis et al. and Bernal et al., for the purpose of 1) minimizing the sensitivity of the holographic recording medium to shrinkage due to curing or temperature changes and 2) minimizing crosstalk noise.

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al. in view of Curtis et al. and Bernal et al.

Chou et al. in view of Curtis et al. and Bernal et al. discloses the invention as set forth above, except for the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens being set longer than that of the Fourier transform lens. However, since Chou et al. already discloses that the focal length of the Fourier transform lens may be longer than or equal to that of the reverse Fourier transform lens, one of ordinary skill would have also been likely to design a similar holographic recording and reproducing apparatus utilizing an asymmetrical 4F lens design, wherein the focal length of the Fourier transform lens is shorter than that of the reverse Fourier transform lens (i.e. the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens is longer than that of the Fourier transform lens), particularly when there is a mismatch in pixel sizes between the SLM and the CCD. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens be set longer than that of the

Fourier transform lens in the holographic recording and reproducing apparatus of Chou et al. in view of Curtis et al. and Bernal et al., for the purpose of optimizing the light throughput of the optical system, while reducing unwanted errors due to optical noise.

10. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al. (W. Chou, M. A. Neifeld, 'Interleaving and error correction in volume holographic memory systems', Appl. Opt., vol. 37, no. 29, October 10, 1998, pp. 6951-6968.), of record, in view of Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6301028) and Bernal et al. (M. P. Bernal, G. W. Burr, H. Coufal, M. Quintanilla, 'Noise in high-areal-density holographic data storage systems', Opt. Soc. America, Washington, D.C., USA, May 1998, pp. 21-22.), of record.

Chou et al. discloses a holographic recording and reproducing apparatus (See for example Figure 1; Section 2A) for recording data as phase information of light in a holographic recording medium (See 'memory' in Figure 1) by projecting a signal beam and a reference beam thereonto, the holographic recording and reproducing apparatus comprising at least a spatial light modulator (See 'SLM' in Figure 1), a Fourier transform lens (See 'lens 1' in Figure 1), a reverse Fourier transform lens (See 'lens 2' in Figure 1), and a CCD image sensor (See 'CCD' in Figure 1), the holographic recording medium being disposed between the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, the focal length of the Fourier transform lens is set to be different (e.g. longer) than that of the reverse Fourier transform lens (See Sections 4C, 4D); and the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remaining unchanged (It is noted that the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform lenses of

Chou et al. do not move during holographic recording and reproduction of information). Chou et al. lacks a pinhole disposed at a confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, such that the pinhole is disposed either between the holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens or between the holographic recording medium and the reverse Fourier transform lens. However, Tanaka et al. teaches a conventional apparatus for holographic data storage (See for example Figure 9), wherein the holographic recording medium (See for example 10 in Figure 9) may be located away from the focal point of the incident Fourier transform lens (See for example 13 in Figure 9). Further, in Tanaka et al., a pinhole (See 50 in Figure 9) may be disposed at the confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the inverse Fourier transform lens (See 21 in Figure 9), such that the pinhole as well as the focal point are disposed between the holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens. Further, both the Fourier transform lens and the inverse Fourier transform lens (See 13, 21 in Figure 9) do not move during the recording and reproduction of information, and thus the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remain unchanged during the recording and reproduction of the information. In addition, Bernal et al. teaches a digital holographic storage system utilizing a 4F lens design (See Figure 1), wherein an aperture is placed at the Fourier plane of the 4F system (it is noted that this Fourier plane occurs at the confocal point of the Fourier (See L_1 in Figure 1) and reverse Fourier (See L_2 in Figure 1) lenses at point 'D'). Also, the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform lenses (See L_1, L_2 in Figure 1) of Bernal et al. do not move during holographic recording and reproduction of information. Thus, it would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the apparatus of Chou et al. further comprise a pinhole disposed at a confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, such that the pinhole is disposed either between the holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens or between the holographic recording medium and the reverse Fourier transform lens, as taught by Tanaka et al. and Bernal et al., for the purpose of 1) minimizing the sensitivity of the holographic recording medium to shrinkage due to curing or temperature changes, 2) minimizing crosstalk noise, and 3) maximize storage density of the holographic recording medium.

11. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al. in view of Tanaka et al. and Bernal et al.

Chou et al. in view of Tanaka et al. and Bernal et al. discloses the invention as set forth above, except for the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens being set longer than that of the Fourier transform lens. However, since Chou et al. already discloses that the focal length of the Fourier transform lens may be longer than or equal to that of the reverse Fourier transform lens, one of ordinary skill would have also been likely to design a similar holographic recording and reproducing apparatus utilizing an asymmetrical 4F lens design, wherein the focal length of the Fourier transform lens is shorter than that of the reverse Fourier transform lens (i.e. the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens is longer than that of the Fourier transform lens), particularly when there is a mismatch in pixel sizes between the SLM and the CCD. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

have the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens be set longer than that of the Fourier transform lens in the holographic recording and reproducing apparatus of Chou et al. in view of Tanaka et al. and Bernal et al., for the purpose of optimizing the light throughput of the optical system, while reducing unwanted errors due to optical noise.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 571-272-2315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arnel C. Lavarias
Primary Examiner
Group Art Unit 2872
10/20/06